List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 1					
item Number 1					
Application Reference	LA05/2022/0627/F	Date Valid	27.06.2022		
Description of Proposal	Dwelling and garage	Location	Beside and north of 161 Ballynahinch Road, Lisburn		
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Louise	O'Reilly	
Reasons for Recor	mmendation				
All relevant planning	material considerations h	ave been sati	sfied.		
Representations					
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Pe	titions	Support Petitions	
1	N/A	N/A		N/A	
Consideration of C	bjections				
Issue	Consideration of Issue				
Proposed dwelling faces directly into objectors' property and two storey dwelling would impede on their privacy.	The proposed dwelling will sit 25.4m away at an oblique angle, therefore due to sufficient separation distance, the orientation of the proposed dwelling from the objectors dwelling and the boundary treatment, it is considered that the proposal will not result in unacceptable overlooking or loss of privacy to the objector at no. 159 Ballynahinch Road.				
Proximity of proposed dwelling to the mature boundary.	A biodiversity checklist was submitted following concerns raised by the objector in regard to mature vegetation. NIEA NED have considered the checklist and are content. It is noted from a recent site visit on 1 st April 2025 that a portion of the northern boundary was lost to storm Eowyn. The applicant has commenced replanting and reinstatement of the boundary.				
Site is land that was filled by the objector in the 1970s to raise the ground level when they were in.	This is not relevant to the proposal. It is the responsibility of the developer to ensure ground conditions are suitable for the proposed development and appropriate construction methods or mitigation measures are implemented if required.				
Concern about damage to retaining wall. Meeting request with authority.	Damage to property is a civil matter and outside the remit of planning. A meeting is not deemed necessary following a site inspection and consideration of the above issues in accordance with the prevailing planning policy.				

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 2					
Application	LA05/2024/0064/O	Date Valid	22.01.2024		
Reference Description of Proposal	Erection of dwelling and garage with associated works	Location	Land adjacent to 65 Magheradartin Road, Royal Hillsborough,		
Group Recommendation	Refusal	Case Officer	Catherine Gray		
Reasons for Recor	nmendation				
Plan Strateg			urn and Castlereagh City Council which in principle is considered to		
Plan Strateg dwelling is a genuine hard been demon	y, in that the applicant has necessary response to the dship would be caused if p	s not provided e particular cir lanning permi	urn and Castlereagh City Council satisfactory evidence that a new rcumstances of the case and that ission were refused and it has not utions to meet the particular		
 The proposal is contrary to Policy COU8 of the Lisburn and Castlereagh City Council Plan Strategy, in that the proposal would, if permitted, add to a ribbon of development along Magheradartin Road. The proposal is contrary to Policy COU16 of the Lisburn and Castlereagh City Council Plan Strategy, in that it would have an adverse impact on the rural character of the 					
area.	- 				
Objection Letters 1	Support Letters	Objection Pe N/A	etitions Support Petitions N/A		
Consideration of C	bjections				
Issue Proximity to the windows of property number 65 Magheradartin Road.	Consideration of Issue Concern is raised about proximity to the side windows of number 65 Magheradartin Road. The proposed dwelling could be designed to ensure that no overlooking is caused into the neighbouring property.				
Impact on the traffic.	Concern is raised about the impact on the traffic on the Magheradartin Road. Dfl Roads have been consulted and raised no objections to the proposal. It is considered that the proposal complies with policy TRA				

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / Lisburn & recommendation to refuse Castlereagh **City Council**

Impact on badgers and bats.	Concern is raised about badgers and bats which are protected species.
	The agent has submitted a biodiversity checklist for
	consideration. Having carried out a site inspection, considered the content of the checklist and considered the information against the NIEA Biodiversity checklist decision flow-chart, no further natural heritage assessment is required. It is considered that the proposal complies with policies NH1, NH2 and NH5.

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 3					
Application Reference	LA05/2023/0211/F	Date Valid	07.03.2	2023	
Description of Proposal	Proposed construction of single storey dwelling with private garden and in curtilage parking at lands to the rear of 21 & 21A East Down View, Lisburn.	Location	Lands to the rear of 21 and 21A East Down View, Lisburn		
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Catheri	ne Gray	
Reasons for Reco	mmendation				
All relevant plannin	g material considerations h	ave been sati	sfied.		
Representations					
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Pe	titions	Support Petitions	
5	N/A	N/A		N/A	
Consideration of (Dbjections	1			
	Consideration of Issue				
Issue					
Issue Site address.	The view is expressed the there is already a 24 East road. The nearest house The site address on the been corrected and ame Down View	at Down View to this develo original submi nded to lands	on the op opment is ssion wa to the re	s 21a East Down View. s incorrect. It has since ar of 21 and 21A East	
	The view is expressed the there is already a 24 East road. The nearest house The site address on the been corrected and ame	t Down View to this develo original submi nded to lands nat these prop cy with the ba	on the op opment is ssion wa to the re erties are cks of the	oposite side of the s 21a East Down View. s incorrect. It has since ar of 21 and 21A East e going to deprive the em looking into	
Site address. Privacy.	The view is expressed the there is already a 24 East road. The nearest house The site address on the of been corrected and ame Down View The view is expressed the neighbours of their private neighbouring gardens are perimeter fence. The proposed development dwelling and reduced from proposal would not cause neighbours private aments	at Down View to this develo original submi nded to lands at these prop cy with the ba ad that the pro ent has been m two storey e any unaccep ity space.	on the op opment is ssion wa to the re erties are cks of the posed ga reduced to one sto	oposite side of the s 21a East Down View. s incorrect. It has since ar of 21 and 21A East going to deprive the em looking into arden will be against the from two dwellings to one orey. The amended erlooking into any	
Site address.	The view is expressed the there is already a 24 East road. The nearest house The site address on the of been corrected and ame Down View The view is expressed the neighbours of their private neighbouring gardens are perimeter fence. The proposed developmed dwelling and reduced fro proposal would not cause neighbours private ament The view is expressed the etc, leading to antisocial community.	at Down View to this develo original submi nded to lands nat these prop- cy with the band that the pro- ent has been m two storey e any unaccep nity space. nat a lot of the behaviour, in	on the op opment is ssion wa to the re erties are cks of the posed ga reduced to one sto otable ov se house a well-re	poposite side of the s 21a East Down View. s incorrect. It has since ar of 21 and 21A East going to deprive the em looking into arden will be against the from two dwellings to one orey. The amended erlooking into any s are let out to drug users spected area of this	
Site address. Privacy. Anti-social	The view is expressed the there is already a 24 East road. The nearest house The site address on the of been corrected and ame Down View The view is expressed the neighbours of their private neighbouring gardens are perimeter fence. The proposed development dwelling and reduced fro proposal would not cause neighbours private ament The view is expressed the etc, leading to antisocial	et Down View e to this develo original submi nded to lands nat these property or with the back and that the pro- ent has been m two storey e any unaccept ity space. nat a lot of the behaviour, in	on the op opment is ssion wa to the re erties are cks of the posed ga reduced to one sto otable ov se house a well-res	poposite side of the s 21a East Down View. s incorrect. It has since ar of 21 and 21A East e going to deprive the em looking into arden will be against the from two dwellings to one orey. The amended erlooking into any s are let out to drug users spected area of this	

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

	The prepaged density is in keeping with the surrounding area and
	The proposed density is in keeping with the surrounding area and complies with planning policy.
Traffic and parking.	The view is expressed that the area already cannot cope with traffic and parking as it is and that this development is putting residents and children at risk. The view is expressed that there is already an apartment block approved on the street and that this will just add to the traffic problem.
	Access is proposed from East Down View and the proposal includes in- curtilage parking. Dfl Roads have been consulted on the proposal and have no objection subject to standard condition and informatives. It is considered that the proposal complies with policies TRA1, 2 and 7.
House values.	The view is expressed that the proposal will reduce existing house values.
	This is not a material consideration that is given determining weight.
Natural Light.	The view is expressed that the proposal will affect neighbours access to natural light.
	The proposal has been reduced in size and height and it is considered that the amended scheme would not have an unacceptable impact on the light of neighbouring properties.
Sound and noise pollution.	The view is expressed that the development will majorly increase sound and noise pollution.
	Environmental Health have been consulted and have raised no objections to the development proposal
Town Centre.	The view is expressed that the proposal will make the area feel like a town centre.
	The proposal is sited within an established built-up residential area and is considered to be acceptable.
Unsure about the proposal.	Concern is raised that they are unsure of the layout of the dwellings and unsure whether the houses will be private sell/rent dwellings or social housing and what perspective problems may occur.
	The proposal has been reduced from two houses to one dwelling and all the plans/information associated with the application is published on the planning register for consideration.
Construction works.	The view is expressed that tenants and homeowners have already been though huge inconveniences due to the ongoing building work in the area.
	Construction works are of a temporary nature and the onus is on the owner/developer to ensure that courtesy is paid to any neighbours during any construction works.

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 4					
Application Reference	LA05/2024/0925/RM	Date Valid	20.12.2024		
Description of Proposal	Proposed Dwelling of 1 and 1/2 Storeys with Detached Garage and Associated site works	Location	Lands between 19 and 21 Dunlady Road, Dundonald		
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Cara B	reen	
Reasons for Recor	nmendation				
	material considerations h	ave been sati	sfied.		
Representations					
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Pe	titions	Support Petitions	
1	N/A	N/A		N/A	
Consideration of O	bjections	1			
Issue	Consideration of Issue				
All windows looking into No. 19 Dunlady Road should be opaque glass.	window units which are of non-habitable rooms. It is between the application large mature trees which above, there are no cond	ady Road at it directed to this s acknowledge site and No. 1 provide subs cerns with rega coss of privacy d it is not cont t the windows	s closes bounda ed that th 9 Dunlac tantial sc ards to th to any n ended th	t point. The only first-floor ry are those which serve ne common boundary dy Road is demarcated by creening. In light of the ne proposed scheme eighbouring property to an nat there would be a	

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 5					
Application	LA05/2024/0647/F	Date Valid	05.09.2024		
Reference					
Description of Proposal	Proposed change of use of vacant shop (former	Location	17 Lisb Hillsbo	ourn Street, rough	
Fioposai	wedding shop) to dental		1111500	lough	
	surgery				
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Helen I	McGuinness	
Reasons for Recor	nmendation				
All relevant planning	g material considerations hav	/e been satisfie	ed.		
Representations					
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Pet	titions	Support Petitions	
1	N/A	N/Ā		N/Å	
Consideration of O	bjections				
-					
Issue	Consideration of Issue				
An increase in car parking causes strain on the current parking facilities available.	A Parking Audit Survey was completed and submitted as supporting information as part of this application. It has been concluded that the proposed development can be accommodated within the existing parking facilities in Hillsborough, without detriment to the parking provision of existing residents, staff and customers associated with other commercial premises in the immediate area.				
External plant & machinery housing and in surgeries as small as indicated on the plans such will almost certainly be a requirement.	As well as encroaching on any rear access, rear window/light or "parking space", it will add external noise from compressor and vacuum units throughout the entire day. It is noted that no external plant or equipment has been specified within this application. As such, this does not form part of the assessment. Should any external plant or equipment be required, the applicant is advised to submit a planning application.				
Decontamination room plans are unlikely to meet Regulation & Quality Improvement Authority (RQIA) regulations for size and space for an independent	This falls outside the remit of the assessment of this appl	· •	d therefo	re does not form part of	

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

hospital, which dental surgeries are classed under.	
Disability access	It is advised that the works proposed relate to a private service/business.
through front and	As such, the onus is on the applicant to ensure that reasonable
internal doors may	adjustments are implemented for staff and service users in line with
be a problem.	relevant legislation outside the remit of planning.

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 6						
Application Reference	LA05/2023/0917/F	Date Valid	20.11.2023			
Description of Proposal	Replacement dwelling with retention of old dwelling as store/garden shed.	Location	33 Lisnoe Road, Lisburn			
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Joseph Billham			
Reasons for Recor	nmendation					
All relevant planning	material considerations hav	e been satisfie	d.			
Representations						
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Pet	itions	Support Petitions		
3	N/A	N/Â	N/A			
Consideration of O	bjections	1				
Issue	Consideration of Issue					
Right of way section on P1 is incorrect and concerns about the restriction of access and residents use of laneway.Certificate A on the P1 Form has been completed to certify that all of the site is within the ownership of the applicant. Planning permission does not confer title, and it is the responsibility of the developer to ensure that they control all the lands necessary to carry out the proposed development. Rights of way are civil matters that are outside of planning remit.						

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 7				
Application Reference	LA05/2023/0932/F	Date Valid	23.11.2023	
Description of Proposal	2 no Pigeons Sheds (Retrospective Reduced Scheme).	Location	21 Littl	e Wenham, Moira
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Kevin	Maguire
Reasons for Recom	mendation			
All relevant planning r	naterial considerations have	been satisfied		
Representations				
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Pet	titions	Support Petitions
8	N/A	N/A		N/A
Consideration of Ob	jections	1		
lssue	Consideration of Issue			
Visual impact of the structures.	The siting of the structures is to the rear of the dwelling at No 21 Little Wenham and therefore there are limited public views of these, with principal views coming from the adjacent dwellings and some minor long-range views from the public road. The revised scheme has resulted in one of the sheds being at a higher level (0.5m) than previously the case on site, however this is negated to an extent by the shed being relocated closer to the rear boundary of the site. Given the relatively low elevation, design and materials used and location of the sheds to the rear of the site with minimal exposure to public vantage points it is unlikely that the visual impact of these sheds would be of significant merit to warrant a refusal.			
birds including noise.	This issue has been considered, and the assessment would view that due to the floorspace and associated number of birds, the design of the sheds to allow a proper cleaning regime, as well as the proximity of the sheds to the neighbours boundary, it is considered that the development would likely lead to adverse impact on adjoining residential properties.			
Impact on future house prices of nearby dwellings.	This matter lies outside the remit of planning and as such cannot form part of the overall assessment.			
Issues relating to bird waste	This issue has been considered, and the assessment would view that due to the number of birds and siting of the sheds, some of which are close to the boundary with the neighbouring properties boundary, it is			

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

(droppings)/potential to attract vermin.	considered that the development would likely lead to adverse impact the adjoining residential properties.
Delay in receiving neighbour notification letters.	Neighbour notification letters were issued 07/12/2023 and 06/06/2024 as per Article 8 of the Planning (General Development Procedure) Order (Northern Ireland) 2015 (GDPO). The Council has no control over when these letters are delivered to the relevant properties however in this case as the most recent letter was issued in June 2024 it is considered that neighbours have now had an acceptable time period in which to consider the information associated with the application and opportunity to respond to the Council.
The historical situation of no pigeon keeping in the vicinity.	The more recent changes received, based on the reduction of the number of sheds and consequently birds, as well as re-siting one of the sheds, means that the development would be more acceptable than based on the previous scheme. While the tradition of pigeon keeping may be absent from this area, this issue in isolation, based on the other changes made, is not on its own enough to warrant a refusal in this case.

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 8				
Application Reference	LA05/2024/0710/F	Date Valid	03.10.2024	
Description of Proposal	Proposed relocation of existing three bay insignia bus shelter.	Location	Public Footpath 62metres south of No. 5 Millmount Chase, Dundonald	
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Callum	Henderson
Reasons for Recom	nmendation			
All relevant planning	material considerations hav	e been satisfie	ed.	
Representations				
Objection Letters 3	Support Letters N/A	Objection PetitionsSupport PetitionsN/AN/A		
Consideration of O	bjections			
Issue	Consideration of Issue			
Danger to general road safety along Millmount Road with a potential increase in overtaking, risk to vehicles exiting Millmount Chase and to pedestrians in general.	submitted with the application. Dfl Roads were consulted and after a revision being provided relating to moving the bus stop outside of the visibility splays of the nearby access road, Dfl Roads subsequently offered no objection subject to the scheme being carried out in accordance with the submitted 'Proposed Block Plan', Drawing No 2a, submitted to the planning portal 9 th December 2024. In light of the above assessment, it is considered that the proposal would be compliant LDP			