

Itom Number 4				
Item Number 1				
Application Reference	LA05/2023/0661/F	Date Valid	14.08.2	2023
Description of Proposal	Proposed single storey garage with caravan storage and workshop. Application to extend curtilage of application LA05/2021/0911/O	Location	80B Be	elfast Road, Glenavy, n.
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Cather	ine Gray
Reasons for Reco	mmendation	Officer		
All relevant planning	g material considerations h	ave been sati	sfied.	
Representations				
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Pe	titions	Support Petitions
3	N/A	N/A		N/A
Consideration of C	Objections			
Issue	Consideration of Issue			
The garage	The view is expressed that the height of the proposed garage is disproportionate, that the scale and massing of the garage would compromise the amenity of number 82, concern about its proximity to the boundary with number 82, have a negative effect on the character of the locality and cause disruption to neighbouring properties. And have a negative visual impact.  The proposed garage is single storey and measures 12m by 9m and has a maximum height of 4.1m. It is sited to the rear of the dwelling house and would not have a negative visual impact on the landscape. A domestic garage in proximity to another residential property is considered to be a compatible use and an acceptable ancillary building. The character of the locality would not be negatively affected by a domestic garage in association with the dwelling house. There are no windows facing private amenity space of any neighbouring dwellings. The private amenity of the neighbouring properties would not be negatively affected by the proposed garage.			
The workshop/caravan garage#	The view is expressed the scale and designation as a workshop is concerning, that the size and scale of the proposal would appear to be greater than the specified need for a workshop and caravan garage, and that the size and scale is more reminiscent of industrial activity. The concern is raised that the workshop and any industrial activity on the site would create a conflict between the existing nearby properties and cause disruption. The view is also expressed that as a consequence of the size,			



	scale and potential usage an environmental impact and a noise impact assessment is necessary. The visual impact of the workshop/garage and the impact of noise and odour emission is also raised. The view is expressed that a thorough assessment is necessary to accurately gauge the extent of the workshop activities. The view is expressed that a workshop is an inappropriate additional to this residential area and that approving this application could establish an undesirable precedent for the area.
	The proposal is for a garage with caravan storage and workshop. Through the processing of the application the size of the proposed garage has been reduced significantly from the original submission. The amended proposed garage measures 12m by 9m and has a maximum height of 4.1m. The size and scale of the amended proposal is considered to be acceptable. The applicant/agent has confirmed that the proposal is for domestic use only and this can be conditioned. A noise and odour impact assessment are not considered to be necessary for a domestic garage. Environmental Health have raised no concerns in relation to the proposal. The proposal is positioned to the rear of the site and would be set in behind the dwelling house. Visually the proposal is considered to be acceptable.
Impact on amenity of numbers 80, 82 and 84 Belfast	Concern is raised about the impact of the proposal on the amenity of numbers 80, 82 and 84 Belfast Road.
Road.	A domestic garage in association with a dwelling house, adjacent to existing domestic dwellings is considered to be a compatible use. The proposal is sited as to not have a negative impact on the amenity of the adjacent properties. No unacceptable overlooking or loss of light would be caused by the proposal.
Ribbon effect.	The view is expressed that the proposal would create a ribbon effect in the countryside.
	The proposal is to be positioned in behind the dwelling house and would not create a ribbon effect.
Contrary to Northern Ireland Planning Policy.	The view is expressed that approving the application would be contrary to Northern Ireland Planning Policy and would have significant negative consequences for the community and the environment.
	The proposal has been assessed against the relevant planning policies and is policy compliant.
Contrary to PPS 3.	The view is expressed that the proposal is contrary to PPS 3.
	PPS 3 is not the relevant policy context and PPS 3 has been superseded by the Plan Strategy and has been considered under the relevant TRA policies. The proposal does not involve any changes to the access. Dfl Roads have been consulted and have no objections to the proposal.



Conflict with PPS 7.	The view is expressed that the proposal goes against the principles of policy PP7 which seeks to ensure that development is compatible in scale, form and character of the surrounding area.  PPS 7 is not the relevant policy context for this proposal. The proposal has been considered against the relevant HOU policies in the Plan Strategy and it is considered to be acceptable.
Contradicts Policy PPS 2.	The view is expressed that the proposal could jeopardise the local ecosystem and contradicts Policy PPS 2's aim to protect and enhance the natural environment.
	PPS 2 is not the relevant policy context and has been superseded by the Plan Strategy. The proposal has been considered against the NH policies and it is considered that the proposal would not harm any natural heritage.



application.

notification

Correct neighbour

## List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

### Week Ending 06 September 2024

Item Number 2			
Application Reference	LA05/2023/0632/F	Date Valid	01.08.2023
Description of Proposal	Proposed farm dwelling and garage	Location	35a Lurganure Road, Lisburn
Group Recommendation	Refusal	Case Officer	Brenda Ferguson

#### Reasons for Recommendation

- The proposal is contrary to policy COU1 of the Lisburn and Castlereagh City Council Plan Strategy in that the proposed development is not an acceptable form of development in the countryside.
- The proposal is contrary to policy COU10 of the Lisburn and Castlereagh City Council Plan Strategy in that the proposed dwelling and garage are not visually linked or sited to cluster with an established group of buildings on the farm.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy COU15 of the Lisburn and Castlereagh City Council Plan Strategy in that it not sited to cluster with an established group of buildings and fails to blend with the landform, existing trees, buildings, slopes or other natural features which provide a backdrop and if approved, will be a prominent feature in the landscape. The site is also unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the development to integrate into the landscape, it will rely primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration and the ancillary works do not integrate with their surroundings.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy COU16 of the Lisburn and Castlereagh City Council Plan Strategy in that it is not sited to cluster with an established group of buildings, and if approved, would mar the distinction between the settlement and the surrounding countryside and otherwise result in urban sprawl. It would be unduly prominent in the landscape and the impact of ancillary works would have an adverse impact on the rural character of the area.

# Objection Letters Support Letters Objection Petitions Support Petitions 9 N/A N/A N/A Consideration of Objections Issue Consideration of Issue 41, 43, 43a, and 43b were not notified about this



The latest site plan indicates the access point to be further south of the
bend in the road. DFI Roads have been re-consulted on the revisions and
have no objections to the latest drawing.
Enivronmental Health have been consulted regarding the location of the
proposed soakaway and advised they have no objections. NIEA Water
Management Unit refer to standing advice.
A biodiversity checklist was not considered to be necessary in this
instance. The site consists of an open field with undefined boundaries
and the provision of sight splays will not require removal of any roadside
vegetation. There will be no adverse impact on natural heritage features
Trage tation in the training of the data of the tation and the training of the tation of tation of the tation of the tation of tation of the tation of the tation of
Revised site layout drawing has been submitted, the scale has been
shown and measurements have been taken to the neighbouring
boundaries to form an assessment.
bodilidaties to form an assessment.
This matter lies outside the remit of planning and as such cannot form
part of the overall assessment.
part of the overall assessment.
It is concluded that the dwelling is a suitable distance from the
neighbouring properties and will not cause overlooking into the gardens
or rear of dwellings along the Lurganure Road.
of real of dwellings along the Edigardie Road.
DEL roads are content with the latest revised site plan indicating the
DFI roads are content with the latest revised site plan indicating the
access point off the Lurganure Road and required visibility splays.
A small partian of land to the rear of page 24h and 24a included within the
A small portion of land to the rear of nos. 34b and 34c included within the
curtilage is not reflected on the site plan however this has been taken into
consideration and forms part of the assessment and measurement of the
dwelling to the existing properties.
It is constituted that the managed hour assessment there a detailer and a
It is concluded that the proposed new access will have a detrimental
impact on the rural character of the area.
As above, it has been concluded that the proposed new access is
detrimental to the rural character and will be visually prominent in the
landscape.



little to no	
connection to the	
proposed property	
or existing farm.	
The potential loss	The proposed dwelling is deemed to be a suitable distance from these
of light and privacy	properties so as not to cause overlooking however it is noted that the
to 43b, 43c and 45	dwelling will be unduly prominent in the landscape.
Lurganure Road.	
If the proposed	It is contended that the proposed dwelling and garage will not be visually
entrance is	linked or clustered with the group of buildings on the farm.
approved, it would	
make the new	
property even	
more separate	
from the farm	
The proposed	It is considered that the proposed dwelling is not positioned to cluster with
dwelling does not	the farm buildings and will be prominent in the landscape.
seem to be	
sensitively	
positioned within	
the farm	
building/contrary	
to policy	
An outdated map	The plans as submitted has been considered and are sufficient for an
been used to	assessment of the proposal to be made. The boundaries of the
misleadingly show	neighbouring sites have been taken into account in the assessment.
the boundaries	neighbouring sites have been taken into account in the assessment.
incorrectly for 43,	
43A, 43B & 43C,	
but that it has	
been doctored to	
include the	
property at 35A.	
The proposed two-	The dwelling as proposed is considered to be typical of other farm
story dwelling	dwellings in the surrounding area however the dwelling and ancillary
does not align with	features on this particular site will be unduly prominent in the landscape
the architectural	and is contrary to policy.
style of the	and is contrary to policy.
surrounding	
properties	
	As above, the dwelling is said to be suitable in terms of design bewayer
Dwelling is	As above, the dwelling is said to be suitable in terms of design however
significantly larger	will be a prominent feature in the landscape due to its positioning on the
and more	site, the lack of integration and the ancillary features.
imposing than	
those around it.	DELDO de una contentación de citado de la citado del citado de la citado del citado de la citado de la citado de la citado del citado de la citado del citado de la citado de
Parking identified	DFI Roads are content with the site layout drawing indicating parking and
for the existing	turning arrangements.
farm dwelling and	



proposed dwelling not sufficient.	
Proposal contravenes policy CTY2A – new dwellings in existing clusters.	The dwelling is assessed against Policy COU10 – farm dwellings. Policy CTY2A does not form part of this assessment.
Proposal dwelling is also not in keeping with the "conversion and re-use of existing buildings" principles of PPS 21.	As above, the proposal has been assessed against the policy for farm dwellings in the countryside and proposes a new dwelling and not conversion/re-use of existing.
The proposed dwelling at 35a would prove detrimental to an important visual break in existing development.	It is concluded that the proposal will mar the distinction between the settlement limit of Lurganure and the surrounding countryside and contribute to urban sprawl therefore having an adverse impact on the character of the area.
Proposal does not fit within the definition provided as having "little appreciation of any physical separation" There is also no vegetation whatsoever that provides natural screening: the prosed site is currently an open field full of grazing cattle.	An assessment of the proposal has been made against the relevant policy and is considered to be contrary to COU1, COU10, COU15 and COU16 of the Plan Strategy for the reasons provided.
The proposed dwelling and detached garage will be unduly prominent in the landscape and development will further erode the rural character of the local area.	It is agreed that the proposed dwelling will be unduly prominent on the landscape.



If approved, this	This is not a planning matter which forms part of the material
dwelling will be	considerations of the proposal.
utilised as an	
opportunity for	
further financial	
gain.	