



# Local Development Plan 2032

Sustainability Appraisal (incorporating SEA)  
of the draft Plan Strategy Second Addendum  
September 2023



Lisburn &  
Castlereagh  
City Council

[www.lisburncastlereagh.gov.uk](http://www.lisburncastlereagh.gov.uk)

## Non-Technical Summary

Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council is developing a new Local Development Plan (LDP). This Plan will replace the existing Area Plans and will provide a revised policy framework to inform planning decision making and to guide development in Lisburn & Castlereagh City until 2032.

This document is an Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Reports of the draft Plan Strategy, which are:

- The Sustainability Appraisal of the draft Plan Strategy (incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment) Sustainability Appraisal Report, published as the 'Draft Sustainability Appraisal' alongside the draft Plan Strategy in October 2019, submitted as SUBDOC-005 ('the 2019 SA Report');
- The Addendum to draft Plan Strategy Sustainability Appraisal (SA) October 2019, published January 2021, submitted as SUBDOC-016(a) ('the SA Report - First Addendum').

The Sustainability Appraisal of the draft Plan Strategy updated and built upon the Interim Sustainability Appraisal, which was carried out in support of the Preferred Options Paper and was published in March 2017.

The Sustainability Appraisal process is underpinned by the SA Scoping Report, an updated version of which was published with the draft Plan Strategy for formal consultation in November 2019. The SA Scoping Report presents the evidence base to inform the appraisals of each policy. It also establishes the SA Framework for undertaking the appraisal. This report should therefore be read in conjunction with the above reports.

### Independent Examination of the Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council draft Plan Strategy

In July 2021, the Department for Infrastructure appointed the Planning Appeals Commission (PAC) to cause an Independent Examination of the Lisburn & Castlereagh City Local Development Plan draft Plan Strategy. The Independent Examination public hearing sessions took place between March and May 2022.

Prior to those hearing sessions, a number of focussed and minor changes to the Plan document were brought forward by the Council. These proposed changes were screened to ascertain if any likely significant effects would occur as a result of their implementation within the draft Plan Strategy. The outcome was documented in the SA Report - First Addendum. That report concluded that none of the proposed changes would result in any likely significant effects as a result of their implementation within the draft Plan Strategy and that no further amendments to the SA were required.

Following the Independent Examination, the PAC concluded that, subject to recommended amendments and modifications, the draft Plan Strategy met the tests of soundness as required.

### Draft Plan Strategy Independent Examination Report and Recommendations

On 28<sup>th</sup> June 2023, the Department for Infrastructure ('the Department') issued a direction to the Council to adopt the Plan Strategy with modifications.

The Department's Direction includes two Schedules. The first Schedule contains recommended modifications which reflect the Council's focussed and minor changes. The likely significant effects of these modifications have therefore already been assessed in the SA Report - First Addendum.

The second Schedule in the Direction are modifications recommended in the Planning Appeals Commission's Independent Examination Report which the Department has directed as being necessary for the adoption of the Plan Strategy.

This Sustainability Appraisal Report - Second Addendum has been prepared to document the assessment of whether any likely significant effects will arise from implementing the modifications in

Schedules 2 and 2A within the draft Plan Strategy and whether any further amendments to the SA are required.

The conclusions of this report are that none of the recommended modifications would result in any likely significant effects as a result of their implementation within the Plan Strategy. All the recommended modifications can be made without impacting or changing how the plan as a whole would perform against the Sustainability Objectives. A number of minor changes to the 2019 SA Report will be implemented through this Addendum Report. The overall conclusions of the Sustainability Appraisal as set out in the 2019 SA Report remains unchanged.

---

NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY .....	I
1 INTRODUCTION.....	6
The Local Development Plan .....	6
Independent Examination of the Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council draft Plan Strategy .....	6
Post-Examination Modifications.....	6
Sustainability Appraisal.....	7
2 REVIEW OF THE DIRECTED MODIFICATIONS TO THE DRAFT PLAN STRATEGY.....	8
Table 2.1 Sustainability Objectives for Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council .....	8
Figure 2.1 Scoring and definitions for Sustainability Appraisal .....	10
3 CONCLUSION .....	11
Appendix A Screening of Modifications in Schedule 2 .....	13
Appendix B Minor Amendments to the SA Report.....	33



## 1 Introduction

### The Local Development Plan

- 1.1. Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council is in the process of developing a new Local Development Plan (LDP). The LDP will replace the existing Area Plans and will provide a revised policy framework to inform planning decision-making and guide development in Lisburn & Castlereagh City until 2032.
- 1.2. The LDP is made up of two documents:
  - the Plan Strategy, and
  - the Local Policies Plan.

The draft Plan Strategy was published in October 2019 and consulted upon, along with its supporting documents, between 8<sup>th</sup> November 2019 and 10<sup>th</sup> January 2020.

- 1.3. For further information on the LDP, please visit the Council's website at <https://www.lisburncastlereagh.gov.uk/resident/planning/local-development-plan>

### Independent Examination of the Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council draft Plan Strategy

- 1.4. In July 2021, the Department for Infrastructure appointed the Planning Appeals Commission (PAC) to cause an Independent Examination of the Lisburn & Castlereagh City Local Development Plan draft Plan Strategy. The Independent Examination public hearing sessions took place between March and May 2022.
- 1.5. Prior to those hearing sessions, a number of focussed and minor changes to the Plan document were brought forward by the Council. These proposed changes were screened to ascertain if any likely significant effects would occur as a result of their implementation within the draft Plan Strategy. The outcome was documented in the SA Report - First Addendum. That report concluded that none of the proposed changes would result in any likely significant effects as a result of their implementation within the draft Plan Strategy and that no further amendments to the SA were required.
- 1.6. Following the Independent Examination, the PAC concluded that, subject to recommended amendments and modifications, the draft Plan Strategy met the tests of soundness as required.

### Post-Examination Modifications

- 1.7. On 28th June 2023, the Department for Infrastructure ('the Department') issued a direction to the Council to adopt the Plan Strategy with modifications.
- 1.8. Any modifications to the draft Plan Strategy have the potential to change the appraisal of likely effects on social, economic and environmental interests. Therefore, they require consideration through the Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment process in order to determine if they alter the 'likely significant effects' predicted in the 2019 SA Report, or if they may result in new likely significant effects.
- 1.9. The Department's Direction includes two Schedules. The first Schedule contains recommended modifications which reflect the Council's focussed and minor changes. The likely significant effects of these modifications have therefore already been assessed in the SA Report - First Addendum.
- 1.10. The second Schedule in the Direction are modifications recommended in the Planning Appeals Commission's Independent Examination Report which the Department has directed as being necessary for the adoption of the Plan Strategy.
- 1.11. This Sustainability Appraisal Report - Second Addendum has been prepared to document the assessment of whether any likely significant effects will arise from implementing the modifications in Schedules 2 and 2A within the draft Plan Strategy and whether any further amendments to the SA are required.

## Sustainability Appraisal

- 1.12. Sections 8(6) and 9(7) of the Planning Act (Northern Ireland) 2011, require an appraisal of sustainability to be carried out for the Plan Strategy and Local Policies Plan, respectively. Sustainability Appraisal must cover the social and economic effects of the LDP as well as the environmental effects. These requirements are locally implemented through the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2004 ('the EAPP (NI) Regulations').
- 1.13. SA is an iterative process that runs in parallel with the preparation of the LDP, including the draft Plan Strategy. Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) examines the environmental effects of the LDP proposals and is an integral part of SA.
- 1.14. The SA is underpinned by the SA Scoping Report, which presents the evidence base to inform the appraisals of each policy. The SA Scoping Report also establishes the SA Framework for undertaking the appraisal. The SA Scoping Report was originally prepared to support the Sustainability Appraisal Interim Report, which was prepared for and published with the Preferred Options Paper in March 2017 and an updated version published in October 2019.
- 1.15. The Sustainability Appraisal of the draft Plan Strategy (incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment) Sustainability Appraisal Report was published as the 'Draft Sustainability Appraisal' in October 2019, and was subject to statutory and public consultation in accordance with Regulation 12 of the EAPP (NI) Regulations. The 2019 SA Report contains the findings of the assessment on the 'likely significant effects on the environment' of the implementation of the draft Plan Strategy.
- 1.16. Development Plan Practice Note 04<sup>1</sup> Paragraph 9.1a (vi) provides guidance on whether the SA Report should be updated if draft development plan documents (Plan Strategy or Local Policies Plan) are modified. It states "*a further appraisal may be required if a change substantially alters the draft plan and may have likely significant effects which have not previously been appraised...*" "*Modifications to the SA should be proportionate to the level of change being made to the draft plan. Therefore, changes to the draft plan that are not significant should not require any further SA. A council will need to exercise judgement as whether a revised SA report will be required*".
- 1.17. The current stage of the SA consists of assessing the likelihood of significant effects on the environment as a result of introducing the modifications set out in Schedules 2 and 2A of the Department's Direction.
- 1.18. This document is a second Addendum to the 2019 SA Report, as it identifies whether the outcomes of that original report should be varied or revised from those originally published. It should therefore be read in conjunction with the 2019 SA Scoping Report, the 2019 SA Report, the 2021 SA Report - First Addendum and the Department's Direction.
- 1.19. If any modification is considered to result in a variation to the original assessment, this Addendum will specify where and how this has been done in the SA.

## Next Steps

- 1.20. On adoption of the Plan Strategy, a Sustainability Appraisal Post Adoption Statement will be prepared in accordance with Regulation 15(4) of the EAPP (NI) Regulations and will be published on the Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council website.

---

<sup>1</sup> Department for Infrastructure (2015) Development Plan Practice Note 04 'Sustainability Appraisal Incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment' (found at <https://www.infrastructure-ni.gov.uk/publications/development-plan-practice-notes> [accessed 20/07/2023]).

## 2 Review of the Directed Modifications to the draft Plan Strategy

- 2.1 The objective of the EAPP (NI) Regulations is to provide for a high level of protection of the environment and to contribute to the integration of environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans with a view to promoting sustainable development. SA builds on this as it covers the social and economic effects of plans, as well as the more environmentally focused considerations of SEA.
- 2.2 This section presents a screening of the 59 modifications to the draft Plan Strategy set out in Schedules 2 and 2A of the Department’s Direction and identification of whether these modifications have the potential to result in likely significant effects on the environment.
- 2.3 The fourteen social, economic and environmental Sustainability Objectives (SOs), as identified in the POP/Interim stage and draft Plan Strategy SA Scoping Reports and applied in the 2019 SA Report are shown in Table 2.1 below. They have been informed by the strategic and policy context, a review of baseline information for the Council and any evidence of trends and issues. The SOs remain unchanged from the original SA.
- 2.4 **Appendix A** of this SA Addendum Report presents the screening of the modifications’ interaction with these SOs.

**Table 2.1 Sustainability Objectives for Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council**

<b>SO1</b>	<b>1...improve health and wellbeing.</b> Public policy seeks to increase healthy life expectancy, reduce preventable deaths, improve mental health and reduce health inequalities. Evidence shows that there is a need to address obesity, increase physical activity and reduce inequalities in health. It is also necessary to provide for the needs of an aging population and minimise the detrimental impacts of noise. This can be achieved by creating an environment that is clean and attractive; encourages healthy lifestyles; protects tranquil and quiet areas and enables access to health care facilities for all.
<b>SO2</b>	<b>2...strengthen society.</b> Regional policy is directed towards improving community relations and creating a safe society which is more united. Success will be represented by places which are inclusive, respect culture and identity, promote social integration and create a sense of pride. They will also be designed to feel safe and to reduce opportunity for crime or anti-social behaviour.
<b>SO3</b>	<b>3...provide good quality, sustainable housing.</b> The population is growing and therefore there is ongoing need for new housing in locations that meet regional policy, are accessible and balance the needs of society and the environment. The make-up of households is changing therefore design needs to meet long term requirements with good quality build to be sustainable. This objective should reduce homelessness and ensure decent, affordable homes with a mix of types.
<b>SO4</b>	<b>4...enable access to high quality education.</b> Good education improves opportunities for employment and also contributes to avoidance of poverty and healthier lifestyles. The provision of suitable accommodation for educational establishments in appropriate, accessible locations should play a part in making schools more sustainable and reducing inequalities in education.
<b>SO5</b>	<b>5...enable sustainable economic growth.</b> Regional policy seeks to develop a strong, competitive and regionally balanced economy. It is necessary to provide suitable locations for employment, with flexibility where necessary, to reflect current and future distribution of jobs across sectors, encourage new business start-ups, facilitate innovation, regenerate areas, attract investment and make employment as accessible as possible for all. This will reduce unemployment and poverty by helping more people to earn a living and increase their income.

<b>SO6</b>	<b>6...manage material assets sustainably.</b> Material assets such as infrastructure and sources of energy production are essential for society and the economy but need careful planning to ensure that they are designed for efficiency and to minimise adverse impacts. The concept of circular economy treats waste as a resource which should be managed sustainably to reduce production and increase recovery, recycling and composting rates; new or adapted facilities may be required.
<b>SO7</b>	<b>7...protect physical resources and use sustainably.</b> Land, minerals, geothermal energy and soil are resources which require protection from degradation and safeguarding for future use. Sustainable agriculture, tourism and sustainable use of minerals and geothermal energy can help to support the economy.
<b>SO8</b>	<b>8...encourage active and sustainable travel.</b> There is a common goal to reduce traffic emissions and congestion which means reducing single-occupancy car use and increasing other forms of transport, especially at peak times. The location of housing and key services can facilitate better access to public transport. Opportunities for active travel makes travel more affordable and may bring added health benefits, while also reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Measures to manage car demand, such as parking and re-allocation of roadspace, which encourage a shift from car to public transport, walking and cycling will contribute to this goal.
<b>SO9</b>	<b>9...improve air quality</b> Air pollution has serious impacts on human health as well as degrading the natural environment. This objective can be achieved through reducing sources of air pollution. Where air pollution cannot be totally excluded careful siting of development should avoid impacts on sensitive receptors.
<b>SO10</b>	<b>10...reduce causes of and adapt to climate change.</b> International commitments require greenhouse gas emissions to be reduced to lessen their effects on climate. Measures that help reduce energy consumption and enable renewable energy helps mitigate greenhouse gas emissions however adaption is also required to plan for the impacts of climate change.
<b>SO11</b>	<b>11...protect, manage and use water resources sustainably.</b> This objective encompasses reducing levels of water pollution, sustainable use of water resources, improving the physical state of the water environment and reducing the risk of flooding now and in the future. It meets the requirements of Northern Ireland legislation, strategies and plans in support of the Water Framework Directive and other Directives that relate to water and it takes account of the future impacts of climate change.
<b>SO12</b>	<b>12...protect natural resources and enhance biodiversity.</b> International obligations which are adopted in Northern Ireland legislation and policies require the protection of biodiversity including flora, fauna and habitats including the marine environment. This is for their intrinsic value and for the wider services that they provide to people, the economy and the environment for example as carbon stores which lessen the effects of climate change. This objective includes protecting and enhancing biodiversity and the coastal and marine environment as well as protection of green and blue infrastructure to enhance the services that natural resources provide.
<b>SO13</b>	<b>13...maintain and enhance landscape character.</b> International and national policies seek to conserve the natural character and landscape of the coast and countryside and protect them from excessive, inappropriate or obtrusive development. This objective seeks to maintain the character and distinctiveness of the area's landscapes and seascapes and to protect and enhance open spaces and the setting of prominent features, settlements and transport corridors.
<b>SO14</b>	<b>14...protect, conserve and enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage.</b> The historic environment and cultural heritage are resources that inform our history and bring character and sense of place. They also attract visitors and contribute to the economy and bring vibrancy to the places where we live, work and relax. This can be achieved by protecting and enhancing Conservation Areas, townscapes and other sites of historic and cultural value including their setting.

2.5 The scoring and descriptions used in the Sustainability Appraisal are presented in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1 Scoring and definitions for Sustainability Appraisal

Rating		Description
<b>++</b>	Significant Positive	Policy/ proposal would greatly help to achieve the objective
<b>+</b>	Minor Positive	Policy/ proposal would slightly help to achieve the objective
<b>0</b>	Neutral / no effect	Policy/ proposal would have no overall effect
<b>-</b>	Minor Negative	Policy/ proposal would slightly conflict with the objective
<b>--</b>	Significant Negative	Policy/ proposal would greatly conflict with the objective
<b>?</b>	Uncertain	The effect cannot be predicted because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the approach has an uncertain relationship to the objective; or</li> <li>the relationship is dependent on the way in which the approach is implemented; or</li> <li>insufficient information may be available to enable an appraisal to be made.</li> </ul>
ST	Short Term	Up to five years
MT	Medium Term	Five to 15 years
LT	Long term	Over 15 years

Key:	<b>++</b> Significant positive effect	<b>+</b> Minor positive effect	<b>0</b> No overall effect	<b>-</b> Minor negative effect	<b>--</b> Significant negative effect	<b>?</b> Score uncertain
------	--	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	-----------------------------

2.6 No new policies have been put forward in Schedules 2 or 2A, however one Strategic Mixed Use policy, SMU03, has been removed from the draft Plan Strategy. The SA Addendum will examine whether the modifications to the draft Plan Strategy are likely to be significant.

2.7 The modifications have been assessed with regard to their interactions, if any, with the SOs, and their potential to produce significant effects on the specific components of the environment as identified through the Sustainability Framework.

2.8 In assessing the modifications, consideration was given to:

- Would the modification to the policy (either alone or in combination with the other changes) ‘materially’ change what the SA initially assessed or assumed about how the LDP would be implemented, or change the actions that the LDP might inevitably lead to?
- Would the modification have an effect on the revised policy’s performance against the SOs and, if so, would the effect be significant?

2.9 These considerations have informed the assessment of whether the changes would necessitate a revision to the SA. The criteria for assessing the significance of the policy changes are shown below:

Likely to have a beneficial effect on original policy status against SOs	Likely to have a minor adverse effect on original policy status against SOs, able to be mitigated	Likely to have a major adverse effect on original policy status against SOs, mitigation necessary.	No change to original policy status against SOs
Not significant <b>Screened Out</b>	Potentially Significant If negative or mixed effects were previously identified and mitigation or measures to reduce significance are already present in the SA and no additional mitigation is required, can be <b>Screened Out</b>	Significant <b>Screened in</b> - SA required to fully examine revised policy against alternatives.	Not significant <b>Screened Out</b>

- 2.10 Where it is indicated that the modification would result in no change to the original policy status against the SOs, it means that the initial evaluation of the policy remains unchanged, i.e. it is the same as was concluded in the 2019 SA Report. In the case of policy removal, it means its removal would not change the status of the Plan as a whole against the SOs.
- 2.11 If the policy change enhances the original policy's positive effects on a SO, these should serve to strengthen the sustainability of the LDP and will be cumulated with the original evaluation of the draft Plan Strategy SA in the SA Adoption report, once the respective amendments are adopted.
- 2.12 Where a minor adverse effect on the policy status against one or more SOs is identified, a summary of the policy review has been placed below the proposed amendment to explain the nature of the potential effects in more detail. This summary will demonstrate the process by which a decision was made on whether the change would justify the modification being 'Screened In' to the SA. A 'Screened In' policy modification will undergo a full sustainability appraisal against the reasonable alternatives.
- 2.13 Where a major adverse effect on the policy status against one or more SOs is identified, the policy modification will be 'Screened In' to the SA and will undergo a full appraisal against the reasonable alternatives.
- 2.14 In the event that SA is required for a modification to the draft Plan Strategy, the SA will identify any required measures to ensure avoidance or mitigation of any potential negative environmental impacts.
- 2.15 The screening of the modifications is summarised in **Appendix A** of this Report.

### 3 Conclusion

- 3.1 All modifications listed in Schedules 2 and 2A of the Department's Direction were reviewed in the context of Schedule 1 of The EAPP (NI) Regulations, to determine whether they substantially modify the draft Plan Strategy and would have any likely significant effects which have not previously been appraised. The modifications were considered against the Sustainability Objectives to identify potential effects.
- 3.2 The screening of the modifications, summarised in **Appendix A**, has found that none of the modifications would 'materially' change what the SA initially assessed, or what it assumed about how the LDP would be implemented.
- 3.3 The majority of the modifications to the draft Plan Strategy are changes to the document for the purposes of clarity, coherence and consistency. They are logical and rational modifications in response to issues raised during the Independent Examination. In practice they do not change the aim or purpose of the policy from that originally assessed in the SA.
- 3.4 Eight minor amendments to the SA Report have been identified. Two of these are modifications to the SA Report requested by the Department to correct a drafting error. The remaining six are amendments resulting from the modification to delete Policy SMU03 and associated modifications to Plan Objective C. These minor amendments and corrections to the SA Report are set out in **Appendix B** and will be implemented via this Addendum.
- 3.5 Taking into account measures which have been already integrated into the draft Plan Strategy to provide for and contribute towards environmental protection, environmental management and sustainable development, it is determined that all potential significant effects arising from the implementation of the modifications are already accounted for in the SA and will not change.
- 3.6 Implementing the modifications will have no significant (adverse) effect on the overall performance of any policy, or the draft Plan Strategy as a whole, against the Sustainability Objectives. **There will be no 'likely significant effects' arising from their implementation within the draft Plan Strategy.**

- 3.7 Following consideration of the modifications, the Council has concluded that, other than the minor amendments presented in **Appendix B**, no further amendments to the SA are required.

## Appendix A Screening of Modifications in Schedule 2

Dfi Modification no.	Recommended Amendment no.	Focussed Change, Minor Change, typo or Matters arising	Policy, section or paragraph number of DPS or document	Page no. of the DPS	Recommended Amendment <b>Red</b> text to be deleted <b>Purple</b> text to be added	Dfi Modification (Text highlighted in yellow reflects updates made following the Department's consideration of the recommended amendments under Section 12 of the 2011 Act)	Screening / SA Required?	Reason
MOD 01	RA001		Various	Various Parts 1 & 2	Save for TY25, incorporate "Typographical Errors Local Development Plan 2032, draft Plan Strategy" (SUBDOC-032) into the plan where appropriate.	<b>Dfi directs the Council to modify the draft Plan Strategy in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>Note:</b> Exception of TY25 which is amended by MOD02 below	No (Screened Out)	Correction of typographical errors. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
MOD 02	RA002	TY25	Policy HE9	Page 79 Part 2	First sentence of the policy, the word "Proposal" is missing the letter "s" and should read " <b>Proposals</b> ".	<b>Dfi directs the Council to modify Policy HE9 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Correction of typographical error. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
MOD 03	RA003	MA001	Draft Sustainability Appraisal SUBDOC-005	Page 47	2 <sup>nd</sup> last line – <del>Option 6B to re-designate the area</del> to be replaced by <b>Option 6A to re-designate the area</b> .	<b>Dfi directs the Council to modify the draft Sustainability Appraisal (SUBDOC-005) in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modifications are to correct a drafting error in the SA. Modification has no effect on the appraisal of policy options.
MOD04	RA004	MA001	Draft Sustainability Appraisal SUBDOC- 005	Page 235	Top left hand corner of first row – replace <del>6B</del> with <b>6A</b> .	<b>Dfi directs the Council to modify the draft Sustainability Appraisal (SUBDOC-005) in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	<b>The correction to Section 3.2.26 of the SA Report will be implemented through this Addendum Report (see Appendix B, below).</b> No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
MOD 05	RA008		Strategic Policy 07	Page 46, Part 1	Delete footnote 17.	<b>Dfi directs the Council to modify SP07 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a factual correction required for clarity. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.

## Second Addendum

<b>MOD 06</b>	RA011	MC3A	Strategic Policy 08	Page 57, Part 1	An additional paragraph to be added to the J&A text of Strategic Policy 08 to read: <b>“For the purpose of this Plan Strategy, the current definition of affordable housing accords with the SPPS definition provided in its Glossary (page 114).”</b>	<b>Dfl directs the council to modify the J&amp;A text of SP08 with an additional paragraph on page 57, to read as follows:</b> “For the purposes of this Plan Strategy affordable housing is defined as per the SPPS and is set out in the Glossary of this Plan Strategy”. <b>Note:</b> MOD58 (RA135) includes a definition for affordable housing for inclusion into this Plan Strategy.	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to J&A wording for clarity and to enable future flexibility. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 07</b>	RA013	MA011	Chapter 5 Monitoring and Review	Page 158, Part 1	2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet point to read: <b>“The number of net additional housing units built in the period since the LPP was first adopted.”</b>	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy HE9 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	Not Applicable	Modification is a minor amendment to the Plan’s monitoring framework, for coherence and effectiveness. There is no corresponding monitoring indicator identified in the SA’s outline Monitoring Framework.
<b>MOD 08</b>	RA014		Appendix E – Monitoring Framework	Page 175, Part 1	The 1 <sup>st</sup> row under the heading “Monitoring Target” to read: <b>“(HGI) figure of (10,700 housing units 2016- 2030) and projection in Plan Strategy for 12,335 housing units 2017- 2032 (Strategic Housing Allocation).”</b>	<b>Dfl directs the council to modify Appendix E – Monitoring Framework, as follows:</b> The 1 <sup>st</sup> row under the heading “Monitoring Target” to read: <b>“(HGI) figure of (10,700 housing units 2016- 2030) and projection in Plan Strategy for 12,375 housing units 2017-2032 (Strategic Housing Allocation).”</b> <b>Note:</b> Typo, the figure in the last sentence of the PAC RA, should say <b>12,375</b> , not 12,335- this refers to supply and not the identified future housing need as indicated in this MOD.	Not Applicable	Modification is a factual update to the Plan’s monitoring framework, for coherence and effectiveness. <b>A corresponding amendment to the outline Monitoring Framework Chapter 5 Objective 3 Indicator 1 on Page 135 of the SA Report will be implemented through this Addendum Report (see Appendix B, below).</b>
<b>MOD 09</b>	RA015	MA012	Appendix E – Monitoring Framework	Page 175, Part 1	The 1 <sup>st</sup> row under the heading “Trigger Point” to read: <b>“Building more than 750 housing units per year or less than 700 housing units per year in the Council Area”.</b>	<b>Dfl directs the council to modify Appendix E – Monitoring Framework, first row under the heading “Trigger Point”, in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>Dfl also directs the council to modify Appendix E – Monitoring Framework, fourth row under the heading “Trigger Point”, to read “Planning approvals above the density bands which are not located in the city centre, town centres or other highly accessible locations</b> <b>Note – these changes are subject to MOD12 below and will require the Council to identify highly accessible locations at LPP stage.</b>	Not Applicable	Modification is a minor amendment to the Plan’s monitoring framework, for coherence and effectiveness. There is no corresponding monitoring indicator identified in the SA’s outline Monitoring Framework.
<b>MOD 10</b>	RA018	FC5A	Policy HOU2	Page 12,	Second paragraph of J&A text to read: <b>“In all cases proposed</b>	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy HOU2 in accordance with this PAC Recommended</b>	No (Screened	Modification is a minor amendment

				Part 2	alternative uses must comply with <del>the requirements criteria a), b), g), h), i), j) and l)</del> of Policy HOU4 to ensure..."	<b>Amendment.</b> <b>As a result of this modification (MOD10), Dfl direct the Council not to bring forward FC5A as HOU4 no longer needs amended as the issue has been addressed.</b>	Out)	to J&A wording for clarity. No effect on the appraisal of policy options (FC was also screened in First Addendum to Sustainability Appraisal Report, January 2021). No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 11</b>	RA019	MA013	Policy HOU3	Page 13, Part 2	Final paragraph of the policy to read: "For new residential development in areas of distinctive townscape character, including Conservation Areas and Areas of Townscape or Village Character, an increased residential density will only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. <b>All development should be in accordance with available published</b> <del>and</del> space standards <del>should be in accordance with published</del> <del>Department guidance.</del> "	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy HOU3 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy wording for clarity. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 12</b>	RA021		Policy HOU4	Page 15, Part 2	An additional bullet point to be added to criterion d) prescribing what density bands will apply in Town Centres and other locations that benefit from high accessibility to public transport facilities.	<b>Dfl directs the council to modify Policy HOU4 by adding an additional bullet point to criterion d) in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>For clarity, which includes the change taken forward by FC5B (RA020) within Schedule 1A, for clarity this should read as follows:</b> "d) residential development should be brought forward in line with the following density bands (2-footnote): City Centre Boundary :120-160 dwellings per hectare <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Settlement Development Limit of City, Town centres and Greater Urban Areas: 25-35 dwellings per hectare</li> <li>Settlement Development Limits of Villages and small settlements 20-25 dwellings per hectare.</li> <li>Within the above designated areas, Increased housing density above the indicated bands will be considered in town centres and those locations that</li> </ul>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy wording for clarity and consistency. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.

						benefit from high accessibility to public transport facilities” <b>Dfi also directs the council to update the J&amp;A of Policy HOU4 (page 15), by adding an additional paragraph following the first paragraph, regarding Supplementary Planning Guidance.</b> <b>For clarity, this 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph should read:</b> “Please note the Supplementary Planning Guidance on design of residential development that will support the implementation of this policy”. <b>Dfi also directs the council to amend SUB DOC003 - (A: A Quality Place - Enabling Sustainable Communities and Delivery of New Homes) to take account of the additional paragraph within the J&amp;A, above.</b>		
<b>MOD 13</b>	RA022	MC13	Policy HOU4	Page 15, Part 2	LCCC to review criterion e) and its proposed “minor change” MC13 in light of comments at paragraphs 5.89 – 5.91 inclusive of the associated report.	<b>Dfi direct the council to modify HOU4, criterion e), in accordance with this PAC recommended amendment as follows:</b> <b>Policy HOU4 criterion e)</b> a range of dwellings should be proposed that are accessible in their design to provide an appropriate standard of access for all. The design of dwellings should ensure they are capable of providing accommodation that is wheelchair accessible for those in society who are mobility impaired. A range of dwelling types and designs should be provided to prevent members of society from becoming socially excluded. <b>Furthermore, Dfi directs the Council to modify the J&amp;A of Policy HOU4, paragraph subtitled ‘Adaptable Accommodation’ in Part 2, page 17, to read as follows:</b> <b>Accessible Accommodation</b> Design standards are encouraged to meet the varying needs of occupiers and be easily capable of accommodating adaptations. Developers should ensure that a range of dwelling sizes (including internal layout and the number of bedrooms) is provided to meet a range of housing needs that facilitate integration and the development of mixed communities.	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy wording and J&A for clarity. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. The proposed changes to policy HOU 4 do not alter the policy’s intent of encouraging quality of design in residential development and ensuring that all new dwellings should be accessible for wheelchair users. While specific reference to the ‘Lifetime Homes’ standard has been removed from the J&A, it does not alter the substance of the policy and the effects of implementing the proposed changes will not change the option’s score against the sustainability objectives.
<b>MOD 14</b>	RA023		Policy HOU5	Page 20,	1 <sup>st</sup> sentence of policy to read:	<b>Dfi directs the Council to modify the first sentence of</b>	No	Modification is a minor amendment

				Part 2	“Adequate provision <b>must be made for green and blue infrastructure in public open space</b> and for open space that links with green and blue infrastructure where possible....”	<b>Policy HOU5, of the draft Plan Strategy on page 20, in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>Note:</b> MC14 in Schedule 1B, updates the J&A to this policy.	(Screened Out)	to policy wording for clarity and coherence. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
MOD 15	RA027		Policy HOU10	Page 25, Part 2	The J&A text needs to explain when a developer might be required to provide more than 20% affordable housing within a development proposal – see paragraph 5.112 of the associated report.	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify the J&amp;A of HOU10 by inserting a new paragraph after the second paragraph of the draft Plan Strategy on page 26.</b> For clarity this should read: “The policy requires a minimum provision of 20% of units as affordable housing. Where up to date evidence indicates a requirement for a higher proportion of affordable housing, the council will expect developments to provide this. Where appropriate this may be indicated through key site requirements within the Local Policies Plan. It may also be secured through discussions with applicants on a case-by-case basis as part of the development management process.”	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to J&A wording for clarity. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
MOD 16	RA033	MC21A & FC6	Policy COU5	Page 34, Part 2	Amend the wording of the 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph of policy so that it reads: “...provide affordable housing which meets <del>a-an identified</del> need <b>identified by the North Ireland Housing Executive.</b> ”	<b>DfI directs the council to modify Policy COU5 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>Note:</b> Typo in the RA, this should read <u>N orthern</u> Ireland rather than <u>N orth</u> Ireland. <b>DfI also directs the council to withdraw FC6 and instead amend the last paragraph of COU5 to read:</b> “Generally only one group will be permitted in close proximity to any particular rural settlement which cannot readily be met within an existing settlement in the locality.” <b>For clarity Policy COU5 should now read, to also include MOD17 below:</b> Planning Permission will be granted by exception for a small group of no more than 14 dwellings adjacent to or near a village or small settlement to provide affordable housing which meets a need identified by the Northern Ireland Housing Executive. Planning permission will only be granted where the application is made by a registered Housing Association or the Northern Ireland Housing Executive.	No (Screened Out)	Modifications are minor amendments to policy wording for consistency and coherence. No effect on the appraisal of policy options (FC was also screened in First Addendum to Sustainability Appraisal Report, January 2021). No effect on any Sustainability Objective.

						<p>In assessing the acceptability of sites, the following sequential test in terms of location will be applied:</p> <p>a) Land adjacent to the existing settlement limit, subject to amenity and environmental considerations,</p> <p>b) a site close to the settlement limits which currently contains buildings or where the site is already in a degraded or derelict state and there is an opportunity to improve the environment,</p> <p>c) an undeveloped site in close proximity to the settlement where the development could be visually integrated into the landscape.</p> <p>Generally, only one group will be permitted in close proximity to any particular rural settlement which cannot readily be met within an existing settlement in the locality.</p>		
<b>MOD 17</b>	RA034	MC21B	Policy COU5	Page 34, Part 2	Amend the wording of what is currently the final paragraph of the J&A text and move it up so that it becomes the new 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph of policy and reads: “.by a registered Housing Association <b>or the Northern Ireland Housing Executive.</b> ”	<p><b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy COU5 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b></p> <p><b>Note:</b> the full Policy COU5 is written out above under MOD16</p>	No (Screened Out)	
<b>MOD 18</b>	RA038		Strategic Policy 11 & Table 5 Strategic Employment Allocation over Plan period	Pages 76 & 85, Part 1	Not all the sites that make up the strategic employment location are located within settlements; the last two entries on Table 5 of the dPS appear under the heading “Rural Employment Sites”. These are Local Employment Sites as defined on page 77 of Part 1 of the dPS. Criterion b) of Strategic Policy 11 Economic Development in Settlements relates specifically to such sites. LCCC needs to provide a form of wording that will reconcile this discrepancy.	<p><b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy SP11 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment, renaming the title to “Strategic Policy 11 Economic Development” (page 76)</b></p> <p><b>DfI also directs the Council to modify the first sentence of the first paragraph of the J&amp;A of Policy SP11.</b></p> <p><b>For Clarity the first paragraph Justification and Amplification to read as follows:</b></p> <p>“The strategic policy for economic development has been informed by regional and local policy which aims to promote employment, encourage job creation, facilitate growth of existing businesses, attract inward investment and address deprivation.”</p>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy title and to J&A for clarity and coherence. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 19</b>			SMU01 West Lisburn/ Blaris	IE Report	There is no Recommended Amendment by PAC.	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify the wording of SMU01 West Lisburn/Blaris in accordance with the</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy and to J&A to enable the

				paras 5.34-5.5 & 5.68-5.75		<p><b>revised policy wording set out in Schedule 2A (attached at end of this table)</b></p> <p><b>Note:</b> The Department considers that amended wording is required in respect of criteria (b) and (f) and paragraphs 1 and 2 of the associated Justification &amp; Amplification on page 78 of Part 1 of the Plan Strategy (highlighted yellow, in Schedule 2A). The Department considers that these changes are necessary to reflect the consideration of the Commissioner in the IE Report. In particular they are required to help ensure that the aim of the RDS in respect of identifying and protecting key locations for economic growth and ensuring that sufficient land is available for jobs. The changes also reflect the Commissioners comments that the proposed M1-Knockmore link provides a strong physical and visual boundary to the edge of the city.</p> <p>Para 5.39 identifies that even with the yield halved by comparison with the MEL in dBMAP 2015 it would still be a “key location within the BMUA”.</p> <p>Para 5.45 concludes “the provision of the link road would enhance the locational benefits of Lisburn and associated employment opportunities identified at paragraph 3.36 of the RDS”.</p> <p>Paragraph 5.48 states “verbal evidence was given on how the proposed co-location of housing and employment has the potential to reduce dependency on the private car”.</p> <p>Paragraph 5.68 states “there is no persuasive evidential basis for the strategic need to extend the site to the west of the proposed link road...Whilst not an existing feature.... It would form a strong physical and visual boundary to the edge of the city”.</p>	Out)	<p>policy to be implemented and for effectiveness.</p> <p>No effect on the appraisal of policy options.</p> <p>No effect on any Sustainability Objective.</p>
MOD 20	RA039		Policy ED4	Page 47, Part 2	Add a final paragraph to the policy that reads: “ <b>Exceptionally, proposals for social and affordable housing may be permitted on former industrial sites that cannot realistically be redeveloped for industry, provided they meet the policy provisions of Policy COU5 Affordable Housing</b> ”.	<p><b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy ED4 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b></p>	No (Screened Out)	<p>Modification is a minor amendment to policy wording for clarity and consistency.</p> <p>No effect on the appraisal of policy options.</p> <p>No effect on any Sustainability Objective.</p>

<b>MOD 21</b>	RA043 B	MC63	Policy MD1	Page 51, Part 1	Final sentence of first paragraph of J&A text to read: <b>“Within the Council area there is one Special Protection Area (SPA) and Ramsar Site at Lough Neagh including the water body of Portmore Lough.”</b>	<b>Dfi directs the Council to modify Policy MD1 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to J&A for consistency. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 22</b>	RA046		Strategic Policy 14	Page 96, Part 1	Delete penultimate paragraph of J&A: <del>It acknowledges the importance of Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre as a -designation (see SMU03 Sprucefield Regional Shopping -Centre).</del>	<b>Dfi directs the Council to modify SP14 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to J&A for coherence and effectiveness. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 23</b>	RA48		TC4 District and Local Centres	Page 57, Part 2	As set out in paragraphs 7.24 and 7.25 of the report, provision needs to be made in strategic and/or operational policy for local neighbourhood shops within settlement development boundaries but outwith retail designations, villages and small settlements.	<b>Dfi directs the Council to modify operational policy in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment. DFI directs council to modify Policy TC4 District and Local centres as follows:</b> <b>Following Criteria (d) of TC4 add the following:</b> Outside of these Centres, planning permission for local neighbourhood shop proposals will be granted where: a) it is demonstrated that it meets a local need that cannot be met by locating within a District or Local Centre b) it would not adversely affect the vitality and viability of existing centres in the catchment area of the proposal. Proposals for local neighbourhood shops must be of an appropriate scale to ensure that their retail function, providing for everyday local convenience shopping needs does not undermine the vitality and viability of Town, District and Local Centres. <b>In addition the following should be added to the J&amp;A of TC4:</b> Applicants must clearly demonstrate a need for local neighbourhood shops. Local Neighbourhood Shops can play a significant role in the provision of everyday convenience shopping to existing or new residential areas (in accordance with Operational Policy HOU2 b) of the Plan Strategy), that are deficient in such provision and which other defined centres cannot readily serve. They should be small in scale appropriate	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy wording and J&A for coherence and effectiveness. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.

						to the local need only. The development of new buildings will not be permitted where there are existing vacant and suitable premises in the locality to accommodate the proposal.  All proposals must comply with the other relevant operational policies contained within the Plan Strategy. The amount of retail floorspace permitted will be controlled by planning condition.		
<b>MOD 24</b>	RA049		Plan Objective C	Pages 36 & 92, Part 1	Delete Action 7 (see RA044): <del>Support the role of Sprucefield as a regional retail destination at a key strategic location within Northern Ireland</del>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Plan Objective C in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	Not applicable	No effect on the appraisal of any policy.  Appendix 2: Compatibility of Sustainability Objectives & Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council's Strategic Objectives on page 145 of the SA Report has been reviewed. The change does not alter the compatibility in any potentially significant manner. <b>A corresponding amendment will be implemented through this Addendum Report (see Appendix B, below).</b>
<b>MOD 25</b>	RA050		C: A Vibrant Place	Page 92, Part 1	Under heading "Lisburn & Castlereagh Community Plan 2017 – 2032" delete 3 <sup>rd</sup> bullet point: <del>Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre</del>	<b>DfI directs the Council to delete 3rd bullet point under sub-heading "Lisburn &amp; Castlereagh Community Plan 2017 – 2032" of "C: A Vibrant Place" in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>  <b>In addition, DfI directs the Council to remove the first paragraph of page 94.</b>  <b>For clarity the following paragraph should be deleted: 'The SPPS is silent in relation to the Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre. The Council sets out its own strategic policy approach in this respect under policy SMU03 Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre.'</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is an amendment to strategic objective text for coherence and effectiveness.  No effect on the appraisal of options for any policy.  No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 26</b>	RA051	MC5 & MA003	SMU03 Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre	Pages 103 & 104, Part 1	Delete policy/Strategic Designation and its justification and amplification text.	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify SMU03 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification to remove this Strategic Mixed Use policy and Map 10 will result in the removal of the appraisal from the SA.
<b>MOD 27</b>	RA052	MA003	SMU03 Sprucefield Regional	Page 105, Part 1	Delete Map 10 Strategic Designation Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify SMU03 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	As stated in the PAC Report RA045-RA047 inclusive and RA049 – RA054 inclusive have no associated implications for its provisions for TCs,

			Shopping Centre					retailing and other uses. This modification would therefore not alter the total and cumulative effects of the plan as assessed, as any proposals in the former SMU03 designation will be subject to all other relevant strategic and operational policies within the LDP.
<b>MOD 28</b>	RA053		The Retail Hierarchy	Page 97, Part 1	Amend Footnote 37 to read: "Excludes the Regional Shopping Centre at Sprucefield <del>which is subject to a separate policy.</del> "	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify The Retail Hierarchy in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	<b>The policy appraisal on pages 49 -50 and pages 239-240 of the SA Report will be deleted and disregarded. Minor amendments to Section 4.1.12 of the SA (page 122) resulting from this modification will be implemented through this Addendum Report (see Appendix B, below).</b>
<b>MOD 29</b>	RA054		Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre	Page 102, Part 1	Delete final paragraph on page 102.	<b>Dfl directs the Council to move the last sentence of paragraph subtitled 'Laganside Quarter Comprehensive Development Scheme 2015' from page 102 and add it to the same subheading on page 94, after the first sentence.</b> <b>For clarity the first paragraph of 'Laganside Quarter Comprehensive Development Scheme 2015' on page 94, should read as follows:</b> The scheme's main objective is to secure a comprehensive, major mixed use scheme, which would regenerate the Laganbank Quarter area of Lisburn and enhance the city centre's regional role. The connectivity between Lisburn city and its environs is heavily promoted to take advantage of all the possible social and economic benefits that new development may bring. The scheme remains a key driver in regenerating the city centre as a whole. The aims of the scheme... <b>Furthermore, Dfl then directs the Council to delete the remainder of page 102 in its entirety.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is an amendment for coherence and effectiveness. No effect on the appraisal of any policy. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 30</b>	RA060	MA003	Policy TC1	Page 56, Part 2	Delete Footnote <sup>21</sup> .	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy TC1 in accordance with this PAC Recommended</b>	No (Screened)	Modification is for coherence and effectiveness.

						<b>Amendment.</b>	Out)	No effect on the appraisal of any policy. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 31</b>	RA061		Policy TC2	Page 56, Part 2	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence of 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph of policy as follows: “Non-retail development will be restricted within the primary retail frontage so that no more than <del>40%</del> <b>25%</b> of the frontage of the street to which it relates is in non-retail uses...	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy TC2 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>Dfl also directs the council to amend SUB DOC003 - C: A Vibrant Place – Growing our City, Town Centres, Retailing and Other Uses (page 25) under subheading Town Centres, to now refer to 25%.</b> <b>For clarity this should read:</b> “no more than 25% of the frontage of the street is in non-retail use; and,	No (Screened Out)	Modification is an amendment to policy threshold for coherence and effectiveness. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 32</b>	RA063	MC28 & MA005	Policy TC4	Page 57, Part 2	Insert an opening sentence into the 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph of policy to read: “ <b>The role and function of a District Centre is to perform a complementary role in providing consumers with convenience and choice in locations outside of, and coexisting with, city and town centres: the role and function of a Local Centre, comprising small groups of shops and offices, is to provide commerce and community services to a local population</b> ”.	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify the 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph of J&amp;A of Policy TC4 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>Note:</b> TYPO in the RA063 (underlined) which refers to insertion into 1 <sup>st</sup> Paragraph of “Policy” rather than “J&A of Policy TC4”, as detailed in Paragraph 7.71, page 86 of the PAC’s IE Report.	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy for coherence and effectiveness. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 33</b>	RA064	MA006	Policy TC6	Page 58, Part 2	Delete criterion a) of Policy TC6. Criteria b), c) and d) shall become a), b) and c) respectively.	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy TC6 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modifications are an amendment to policy for clarity and to permit flexibility.
<b>MOD 34</b>	RA065	MA006	Policy TC6	Page 58, Part 2	Amend penultimate paragraph of policy to read: “An exception may be permitted for proposals <b>on the trunk road network*</b> in the countryside, subject to compliance with the above policy criteria and where it is demonstrated a clear need for the facility exists that cannot be provided within a defined settlement <b>limit</b> ”.	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy TC6 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>Note</b> that a footnote must be added after “trunk road network” as detailed in MOD35 (below)  _____	No (Screened Out)	No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.

					<del>limit.</del>			
<b>MOD 35</b>	RA066	MA006	Policy TC6	Page 58, Part 2	Add associated footnote to read: <b>"*As designated by Article 14 of The Roads (Northern Ireland) Order 1993. Details of Trunk Roads can be obtained from the Department for Infrastructure – Eastern Roads Division."</b>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy TC6 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment and MOD34 (above).</b>	No (Screened Out)	
<b>MOD 36</b>	RA069	MA007	Supplementary Planning Guidance SUBDOC-003	Page 33, Part 3	Under the heading "Tourism Benefit Statement" amend the second sentence to read: "Where such proposals are of regional significance, or significant in terms of a new build or the scale of engineering operations, a planning application must be accompanied by a tourism benefit statement and a sustainable benefit statement to demonstrate the benefits of the proposal to the locality. <del>B</del> <del>enefit statements should</del> <del>detail the following:</del> A new heading " <b>Sustainable Benefit Statement</b> " should then be inserted together with associated text – " <b>A sustainable benefit statement should detail the following:</b> "	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Supplementary Planning Guidance SUBDOC-003 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	Not applicable	No effect on the appraisal of any policy.
<b>MOD 37</b>	RA072	MC32B	Policy TOU3	Page 64, Part 2	The following to be inserted as the new 4 <sup>th</sup> paragraph of the J&A text; <b>"In the case of replacement of a vernacular building or a suitable locally important building in the countryside, a proposal must be accompanied by evidence reports to ascertain its structural soundness and the economic feasibility of repairing and maintaining it. Such reports must be submitted by suitably experienced and accredited</b>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy TOU3 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to J&A for clarity and effectiveness in implementation. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.

					engineers, architects or building surveyors in the conservation field.”			
<b>MOD 38</b>	RA089 A		Policy HE11	Page 82, Part 2	The second paragraph of policy will end: “.where the overall character and appearance of the area will be maintained”.	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy HE11 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy for clarity and effectiveness in implementation. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 39</b>	RA089 B	MC40	Policy HE11	Page 82, Part 2	A new third paragraph will read: <b>“All proposals must also meet the requirements of operational policy AD1 Amenity and Public Safety”</b>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy HE11 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy for clarity and effectiveness in implementation. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 40</b>	RA091		Strategic Policy 19	Page 127, Part 1	Criterion b) to read: “Maintain <b>and, where possible, enhance</b> landscape quality....”	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy SP19 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modifications are minor amendments to policy for consistency and for clarity.
<b>MOD 41</b>	RA092	MC7B	Strategic Policy 19	Page 127, Part 1	Text under the “International Designations” sub-heading to read: “Within the Council area there is one Special Protection Area (SPA) and Ramsar site at Lough Neagh <b>including the water body of Portmore Lough</b> ”.	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy SP19 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 42</b>	RA093	MC43	Policy NH1	Page 85, Part 2	The third paragraph of policy refers to “exceptional circumstances” and lists three associated criteria. “; <b>and</b> ” should be inserted after each of criterion a) and criterion b).  In the final paragraph of policy, criteria a) should read” ...of primary importance to the environment; <b>or</b>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy NH1 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy for consistency and effectiveness. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 43</b>	RA095	MC45	Policy NH3	Page 86, Part 2	Additional criterion to be added: <b>d) A Marine Conservation Zone</b>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy NH3 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to J&A for consistency and effectiveness.

						<b>DfI also directs the council to insert a footnote 2 to criterion d) to read:</b> “Paragraph 215 of the Draft Marine Plan for Northern Ireland, April 2018.”		No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 44</b>	RA096		Policy NH5	Page 88, Part 2	Amend criterion i) other natural heritage features worthy of protection <b>including trees and woodland.</b>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy NH5 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy for clarity, coherence and effectiveness. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 45</b>	RA098	MA014	Policy SP 20	Page 136, part 1	Sub-heading <b>M1 to A1 Link</b> to be amended to refer to <b>M1/A1 Bypass and Widening of the M1 between Blacks Road and Sprucefield (Lisburn) – These schemes involve the widening of the M1 between Blacks Road and the Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre; and the provision of a new road scheme between the M1 and A1 at Sprucefield (Lisburn) to improve connectivity. The M1/A1 (A101) Link Road is now constructed.</b>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy SP20 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment and remove the word ‘the’ before Sprucefield Shopping Centre.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy heading for clarity and coherence. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 46</b>	RA105	FC12 & MA015	Policy TRA3	Page 94, Part 2	<b>Text under sub-heading “Other Protected Routes – Outside Settlement Limits” to read:</b> <b>Planning permission will only be granted for a development proposal in the following circumstances:</b> <b>i. For a replacement dwelling in accordance with Policy COU3 where the dwelling to be replaced is served by an existing vehicular access onto the Protected Route;</b> <b>ii. For a farm dwelling or a dwelling serving an established commercial or industrial enterprise where access cannot be reasonably</b>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy TRA3 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment, superseding FC12 and MA015.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy heading for clarity and consistency. No effect on the appraisal of policy options (FC was also screened in First Addendum to Sustainability Appraisal Report, January 2021). No effect on any Sustainability Objective.

					<p>achieved from an adjacent minor road. Where this cannot be achieved, proposals will be required to make use of an existing vehicular access onto the Protected Route; and</p> <p>iii. For other developments which would meet the criteria for development in the countryside where access cannot be reasonably achieved from an adjacent minor road. Where this cannot be achieved, proposals will be required to make use of an existing vehicular access onto the Protected Route.</p> <p>In all cases the proposed access must be in compliance with the requirements of Policy TRA2.</p>		
<b>MOD 47</b>	RA108		Policy TRA9	Page 98, Part 2	Delete footnote 36.	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy TRA9 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	<p>No (Screened Out)</p> <p>Modification is a minor amendment for clarity and to permit flexibility. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.</p>
<b>MOD 48</b>	RA115	MC52A MC52D	Policy RE1	Page 100, Part 2	Amend the third paragraph of the J&A text to read: "All renewable energy proposals, including proposals to reutilise established sites, will be assessed against this planning policy having regard to the Departmental publications: Best Practice Guide to Renewable Energy (published by the former Department of Environment 2009), Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance Anaerobic Digestion (published 2013); and..."	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy RE1 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment and also include the word 'on' after 'guidance' in the last sentence.</b> <b>For Clarity:</b> Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance on Anaerobic Digestion (published 2013); and..."	<p>No (Screened Out)</p> <p>Modification is a minor amendment to policy for clarity and coherence. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.</p>
<b>MOD 49</b>	RA117	FC14A, Part of	Policy UT1	Page 104,	In addition to RA118-120 inclusive, in order to address concerns set out in paragraphs 10.47 – 10.51	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy UT1 by pulling through FC14a and part of FC14b.</b> <b>For clarity, the whole of Policy UT1 should read as</b>	<p>No (Screened Out)</p> <p>Modifications are minor amendments to policy for clarity, consistency and coherence.</p>

		FC14B and MA009		Part 1	inclusive LCCC needs to undertake some or all of either: amending the policy; amplifying J&A text or <u>introducing SPG to accompany the PS at the time of adoption.</u>	<p><b>follows, this also includes MODS 50 and 51 below:</b></p> <p>“To ensure that the visual and environmental impact of utility development is kept to a minimum, the provision of utility services such as water, wastewater, electricity and gas to new development proposals should be laid underground where considered feasible and viable. Proposals for all overhead electricity lines and associated infrastructure, either regional transmission or local distribution networks, will be subject to the following:</p> <p>a) pylons, poles and overhead lines should follow natural features of the environment, having regard to designated areas of landscape or townscape sensitivity, to minimise visual intrusion</p> <p>b) avoidance of areas of nature conservation, the historic environment or archaeological interest, where possible in particular where there is the potential for significant effects upon any international site either alone or in combination with other plans and projects wirescape should be kept to a minimum</p> <p>c) associated infrastructure works should be visually integrated, making use of existing and proposed landscaping</p> <p>Proposed power lines should comply with the 1998 International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP).</p> <p>Regional transmission network pylons and overhead lines will be considered against this policy.</p> <p><b>DFI also directs the council to amend SUB DOC003 - F: A Connected Place – Supporting Sustainable Transport and Other Infrastructure (page 55) following the ‘Telecommunications Systems’ section. As indicated in RA117 and also paragraph 10.5.1 of the PAC Report, this amended SPG is required to accompany the Plan strategy at the time of adoption.</b></p>		No effect on the appraisal of policy options (FC was also screened in First Addendum to Sustainability Appraisal Report, January 2021). No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 50</b>	RA118	Part of FC14B	Policy UT1	Page 104, Part 1	Criterion b) to read: “Avoidance of areas of nature conservation, historic environment or archaeological interest, <b>where possible</b> ”	<p><b>Dfi directs the Council to modify Policy UT1 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment as follows:</b></p> <p>Criterion b) to read: “Avoidance of areas of nature conservation, historic</p>	No (Screened Out)	

					LCCC needs to make it clear that criterion b) relates to the potential for likely significant effects upon any International site, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects.	environment or archaeological interest, <b>where possible</b> , in particular where there is the potential for significant effects upon any International Site either alone or in combination with other plans and projects” <b>Note:</b> See MOD49 above for full Policy UT1.		
<b>MOD 51</b>	RA119	Part of FC14B	Policy UT1	Page 104, Part 1	Criterion e) to be included as a free-standing, new penultimate paragraph to policy, succeeded by criteria a) – d) inclusive.	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy UT1 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>Note:</b> See MOD49 above for full Policy UT1.	No (Screened Out)	
<b>MOD 52</b>	RA122	MA010& MA016	Policy WM1	Page 105, Part 2	Add final sentence to 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph of J&A text to read: <b>“A need for the facility is established through the WMS and the relevant WMP.”</b>	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy WM1 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modifications are minor amendments to J&A for clarity. No effect on the appraisal of policy options.
<b>MOD 53</b>	RA124		Policy WM1	Page 107, Part 2	2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph of J&A text on page 107 to read: “.and gasification, should maximise energy <del>recovering</del> <b>recovery</b> in the form of..”	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy WM1 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b>	No (Screened Out)	No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 54</b>	RA125	MC54A MC54B	Policy WM2	Page 107, Part 2	2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph of policy to read: “that there is sufficient capacity to discharge <b>treated</b> effluent to a watercourse and that this will not create or add to a pollution problem <b>or create or add to flood risk.</b> ”	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify Policy WM2 in accordance with this PAC Recommended Amendment.</b> <b>For clarity the second paragraph of Policy WM2 should read:</b> “Development relying on non mains sewage treatment will only be permitted where it is demonstrated to the Council and its statutory consultees that there is sufficient capacity to discharge treated effluent to a watercourse and that this will not create or add to a pollution problem or create or add to flood risk.”	No (Screened Out)	Modification is a minor amendment to policy for coherence and effectiveness. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.
<b>MOD 55</b>	RA128	MC56A and MC56B (In part)	Policy FLD1	Page 110, Part 2	First sentence of policy to read: “New development will not be permitted within the 1 in 100 year fluvial flood plain <b>(AEP of 1%)</b> unless the applicant...”	<b>DfI directs the Council to modify the first paragraph of Policy FLD1 to read as follows:</b> “New development will not be permitted within the 1 in 100 year fluvial flood plain (AEP of 1%) plus the latest mapped climate change allowance, unless the applicant can demonstrate that the proposal constitutes an exception to the policy in the following cases:” <b>DfI direct the council not to bring forward MC56A as</b>	No (Screened Out)	Modifications are minor amendments to policy for consistency and clarity, and effectiveness in implementation. No effect on the appraisal of policy options. No effect on any Sustainability Objective.

						<b>this is superseded by modifications MOD55 and MOD56.</b>		
<b>MOD 56</b>	RA129	MC56C	Policy FLD1	Page 110, Part 2	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence under sub-heading <b>Exceptions in Defended Areas</b> to read: “On previously developed land protected by flood defences ( <del>confirmed by Dfl Rivers as shown on Dfl Flood Maps NI</del> ) that are structurally adequate...”	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify the paragraph under the sub-heading ‘Exceptions in Defended Areas’ of Policy FLD1 to read as follows:</b> “Exceptions in Defended Areas On previously developed land protected by flood defences, (confirmed by Dfl Rivers as structurally adequate) in a 1 in 100 year plus climate change allowance fluvial flood event.”	No (Screened Out)	
<b>MOD 57</b>	RA132	FC15	Policy FLD5	Page 116, Part 2	Policy to read: “new development will only be permitted within the potential flood inundation area of a “controlled reservoir” as shown on <del>the Strategic Flood Maps Dfl Flood Maps NI</del> if: <del>a) It can be demonstrated</del> <b>The applicant can demonstrate</b> that the condition, management and maintenance regime of the reservoir is appropriate to provide sufficient assurance regarding <del>its</del> <b>reservoir</b> safety, so as to enable the development to proceed; <b>or</b> <b>b) where assurance on the condition, management and maintenance regime of the relevant reservoir(s) is not demonstrated</b> , the application is accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment, <b>or other analysis</b> , which <del>demonstrates:</del> <del>an assessment of the downstream flood risk in the event of:</del> <del>a controlled release of water</del> <del>an uncontrolled release of water due to reservoir failure</del> <del>a change in flow paths as a result of the proposed development and,</del> <del>that there are suitable measures to</del>	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify Policy FLD5 in accordance with FC15, to read as follows:</b> “New development will only be permitted within the potential flood inundation area of a “controlled reservoir” as shown on Dfl Flood Maps NI if: a) It can be demonstrated that the condition, management, and maintenance regime of the reservoir is appropriate to provide sufficient assurance regarding reservoir safety, so as to enable the development to proceed; or b) where assurance on the condition, management and maintenance regime of the relevant reservoir(s) is not demonstrated, the application is accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment, or other analysis, which assesses the downstream flood risk in the event of an uncontrolled release of water due to reservoir failure as being acceptable to enable the development to proceed. There will be a presumption against development within the potential flood inundation area for proposals that include: Essential infrastructure: Storage of hazardous substances; and Bespoke accommodation for vulnerable groups. Replacement Building(s): Where assurance on the condition, management and maintenance of the relevant reservoir(s) is not demonstrated, planning approval will be granted for the replacement of an existing building(s) within the potential flood inundation area of a controlled reservoir provided it is	No (Screened Out)	Modification is an amendment to policy for consistency. No effect on the appraisal of policy options (FC was also screened in First Addendum to Sustainability Appraisal Report, January 2021). No effect on any Sustainability Objective.

				<p><del>manage and mitigate the identified flood risk, including details of emergency evacuation procedures assesses the downstream flood risk in the event of an uncontrolled release of water due to reservoir failure as being acceptable to enable the development to proceed.</del></p> <p><del>Replacement buildings within the potential flood inundation area downstream of a controlled reservoir must be</del></p> <p><del>accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment.</del></p> <p>Planning permission will be granted provided it is demonstrated that there is no material increase in the flood risk to the development or elsewhere.</p> <p><del>With all development proposals</del></p> <p>There will be a presumption against development within the potential flood inundation area for proposals that include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Essential infrastructure;</li> <li>• Storage of hazardous substances; and</li> <li>• Bespoke accommodation for vulnerable groups.</li> </ul> <p><del>and for any development located in areas where</del></p> <p><del>the Flood Risk Assessment indicates potential for</del></p> <p><del>a n unacceptable combination of depth and velocity</del></p> <p><del>(See Policy FLD1).</del></p> <p><b>Replacement Building(s): Where assurance on the condition, management and maintenance of</b></p>	<p>demonstrated that there is no material increase in the flood risk to the proposed development or elsewhere.”</p> <p><b>Dfi will need to direct council to amend the last paragraph of the J&amp;A on page 116 of the dPS, to read as follows:</b></p> <p>“Applications for development proposals affected by policy FLD5 should note the information contained in DFI Rivers Technical Advice Note 25 – The Practical Application of Strategic Planning Policy for ‘Development in Proximity to Reservoirs’, revised June 2020.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					the relevant reservoir(s) is not demonstrated, planning approval will be granted for the replacement of an existing building(s) within the potential flood inundation area of a controlled reservoir provided it is demonstrated that there is no material increase in the flood risk to the proposed development or elsewhere.”			
MOD 58	RA135		Glossary	Page 160, Part 1 Page 119 Part 2	Include the following definition: <b>“Affordable Housing – For the purpose of this Plan Strategy, the current definition of affordable housing accords with the SPPS definition provided in its Glossary (page 114).”</b>	<b>Dfl directs the Council to modify the Glossary in Part 1, page 160, to include a definition on ‘Affordable Housing’ and update the definition on ‘Affordable Housing’ in the Glossary in Part 2, page 119, as follows:</b>  Affordable Housing is: a) Social rented housing; or b) Intermediate housing for sale; or c) Intermediate housing for rent, that is provided outside of the general market, for those whose needs are not met by the market.  Affordable housing which is funded by Government must remain affordable or alternatively there must be provision for the public subsidy to be repaid or recycled in the provision of new affordable housing.	Not applicable	No effect on the appraisal of any policy.
MOD 59						<b>As a result of the modifications contained within this direction, Dfl directs the Council to ensure that any other presentational or factual amendments, typographical errors and grammatical errors are updated as necessary to the overall Plan Strategy upon adoption. These updates should not amend the nature and intent of the modifications.</b>	No (Screened Out)	Factual amendments, mapping corrections and the correction of typographical or grammatical errors will have no effect on the appraisal of policy options within the SA.  No effect on the Sustainability Objectives

## Appendix B Minor Amendments to the SA Report

### [MOD 3] Page 47 of the SA Report

#### *What reasonable alternatives have been considered?*

No reasonable alternatives were identified for the draft Plan Strategy. The alternative options tested for the POP included Option 6B 'Re-designate the Blaris MEL Employment Zoning as two separate zonings for housing and employment' and Option 6C 'Retain the existing Blaris MEL Zoning for employment purposes only'. ~~Option 6B~~ Option 6A to re-designate the area and include zonings for housing was found to be the most sustainable option. This option has now been refined on the basis of new evidence which supports this option, and consideration will also be given to the inclusion of new Key Site Requirements to enhance positive effects in the LPP.

### [MOD 4] Page 235 of the SA Report

#### **Reasonable Alternatives:**

No reasonable alternatives were identified for the draft Plan Strategy. The alternative options tested for the POP included Option 6B 'Re-designate the Blaris MEL Employment Zoning as two separate zonings for housing and employment' and Option 6C 'Retain the existing Blaris MEL Zoning for employment purposes only'. ~~Option 6B~~ Option 6A to re-designate the area and include zonings for housing was found to be the most sustainable option. This option has now been refined on the basis of new evidence which supports this option, and consideration will also be given to the inclusion of new Key Site Requirements to enhance positive effects in the LPP.

### [MOD 8] Page 135 of the SA Report

Indicator	Source	Target
<b>Provide good quality sustainable housing</b>		
<b>1. Total number of housing units built in each settlement on zoned and windfall sites and within/outside the urban footprint</b>	Annual Housing Monitor 1st April to 31 March each year	(HGI) figure of <del>(9,600</del> 10,700 housing units <del>2015-2025</del> <del>2016-2030</del> ) and projection in Plan Strategy for <del>11,550</del> 12,335 housing units 2017-2032 (Strategic Housing Allocation)

[MOD 24] Page 145 of the SA Report

Sustainability Appraisal Framework	Sustainability Objectives													
	1 Improve health and well-being.	2 Strengthen society.	3 Provide good quality, sustainable housing.	4 Enable access to high quality education.	5 Enable sustainable economic growth.	6 Manage material assets sustainably.	7 Protect physical resources and use sustainably.	8 Encourage active and sustainable travel.	9 Improve air quality.	10 Reduce causes of and adapt to climate change.	11 Protect, manage and use water resources sustainably.	12 Protect natural resources and enhance biodiversity.	13 Maintain and enhance landscape character.	14 Protect, conserve and enhance the historic environment
<b>C: A Vibrant Place</b> Growing our City, Town Centres, Retailing and Other Uses														
1. Promote the regeneration of our city and town centres as quality places to live, work, shop and visit	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	0	✓	0	0	0	0	✓	✓
2. Promote Lisburn City Centre as a vibrant destination offering a mix of residential, shopping, employment, high grade office development, leisure and community uses; and better transportation linking people and places.	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	0	0	0	✓
3. Support our towns and villages, encouraging appropriate retailing, offices, mixed use and housing opportunities.	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	0	0	0	✓
4. Support the role of the District and Local Centres in accordance with the Retail Hierarchy (Figure 5, page 97)	✓	✓	0	0	✓	✓	0	✓	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. 5. Promote regeneration and reuse of existing buildings and previously developed land for mixed use development, whilst maintaining environmental quality and protecting residential amenity.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	?	?	0	0	0	0
5. 6. Promote a vibrant and thriving night-time economy in our city and town centres to support economic growth, furthering opportunity for enhancing their vitality and viability.	✓	✓	0	0	✓	✓	0	✓	0	0	0	0	0	✓
6. Support the role of Sprucefield as a regional retail destination at a key strategic location within Northern Ireland.	✓	✓	0	0	✓	0	0	✓	0	0	0	?	0	0

[MOD 28] pages 49 -50 of the SA Report and pages 239-240 of Appendix 4.

The heading and contents of subsection 3.2.28 and the corresponding appraisal matrix on the SA Report shall be deleted.

[MOD 28] Page 122 of the SA Report

4.1.12 Protect natural resources and enhance biodiversity

Strategic Policies and Strategic Mixed Use Policies

Strategic policy is generally protective against negative impacts on natural resources and therefore around half the policies are identified as having a neutral or negligible impact on this objective. Policies which are specifically directed to target the protection and enhancement of natural features and open spaces will have a significant positive impact on this objective. Strategic policy that encourages sustainable development and which directs development away from biodiverse sites will also have a minor positive effect on this objective. Settlement growth and development occurring

~~under SMU03~~ will result in the development of greenfield land. An uncertain impact is recorded ~~for these policies~~ as there may be the potential to avoid areas of nature conservation importance through the LPP or by development control. The masterplan approach of SMU01 which incorporates green and blue infrastructure will also have a significant positive effect on this objective.

[MOD 28] page 136 of the SA Report

4. Growth of Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre	Planning applications/approvals from planning portals Floor-space statistics provided by Land & Property Services	Development of Sprucefield in accordance with Key Site requirements identified in Strategic Policy SMU03
---	--	--

[MOD 28] Page 149 of the SA Report

**Table A3.1 Strategic Policies and Strategic Mixed Use Policies**

		1.... improve health and well-being.	2.... strengthen society.	3.... provide good quality, sustainable housing.	4.... enable access to high quality education.	5.... enable sustainable economic growth.	6.... manage material assets sustainably.	7.... protect physical resources and use sustainably.	8.... encourage active and sustainable travel.	9.... improve air quality.	10.... reduce causes of and adapt to climate change.	11.... protect, manage and use water resources sustainably.	12.... protect natural resources and enhance biodiversity.	13.... maintain and enhance landscape character.	14.... protect, conserve and enhance the historic environment.
	Spatial Strategy - Settlement Hierarchy	+	++	+	+	+	0	-	+	?	?	-	?	0	+
SP01	SP01 Sustainable Development	++	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	+	+
SP02	SP02 Improving Health and Well-being	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SP03	SP03 Creating and Enhancing Shared Space and Quality Places	++	++	++	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+
SP04	SP04 Supporting Sustainable Economic Growth	+	+	+	+	++	+	0	0	?	?	+	0	0	0
SP05	SP05 Good Design and Positive Place-Making	+	++	++	+	+	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	++	++
SP06	SP06 Protecting and Enhancing the Environment	+	+	0	0	+	0	?	0	0	++	++	++	+	++
SP07	SP07 Section 76 Planning Agreements	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	++	0	0	+	0	0	+
SP08	SP08 Housing in Settlements	++	++	++	0	0	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++
SP09	SP09 Housing in the Countryside	?	+	+	-	+	-	+	--	?	0	?	+	+	+
SP10	SP10 Education, Health, Community and Culture	++	++	0	++	+	+	0	?	0	0	0	0	0	0
SP11	SP11 Economic Development in Settlements	+	+	+	+	++	+	0	+	?	?	0	0	0	0
SP12	SP12 Economic Development in the Countryside	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	-	?	?	0	0	0	0
SP13	SP13 Mineral Development	0	+	0	0	+	+	++	0	-	?	0	+	0	0
SP14	SP14 Town Centres, Retailing and Other Uses	+	+	0	+	++	++	0	+	?	0	0	0	0	+
SP15	SP15 Evening/Night-time Economy	+	+	0	0	++	++	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+
SP16	SP16 Tourism	+	+	0	+	++	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	+
SP17	SP17 Open Space, Sport and Outdoor Recreation	++	++	0	+	+	0	+	++	+	++	+	++	++	++
SP18	SP18 Protecting and Enhancing the Historic Environment and Archaeological Remains	+	+	+	0	+	0	?	0	0	0	0	+	+	++
SP19	SP19 Protecting and Enhancing Natural Heritage	+	++	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	++	++	+
SP20	SP20 Transportation Infrastructure	++	++	0	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	0	+	+	+
SP21	SP21 Renewable Energy	+	0	0	0	+	+	?	0	?	++	?	0	0	0
SP22	SP22 Telecommunications and Other Utilities	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	?	+
SP23	SP23 Waste Management	+	0	0	0	+	++	?	0	?	0	0	0	0	0
SP24	SP24 Flooding	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	++	+	+	0
	STRATEGIC MIXED USE POLICIES														
SMU01	SMU01 West Lisburn/Blaris	++	++	++	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	++	0	+
SMU02	SMU02 Purdysburn/Knockbracken	+	++	0	+	++	+	0	++	0	0	0	+	++	+
SMU03	SMU03 Sprucefield Regional Shopping Centre	+	+	0	0	++	0	0	+	0	0	0	?	0	0